

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/526,391	03/16/2000	Hideki Yamao	FUJ-17.041	1556
	7590 09/29/2003			
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN			EXAMINER	
575 MADISON NEW YORK,	N AVENUE NY 10022-2585		BARNIE, REXFORD N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2643	7
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

for

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/526,391

Applicant(s)

00,02

Examiner

HIDEKI YAMAO

REXFORD BARNIE

Art Unit 2643



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period 1	or Reply				
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM			
	date of this communication.	ind event, nowever, may a reply be timely filed after SIA (b) MIUNTHS from the			
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 16, 2	2000	•		
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considerati	ion.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-3 and 6	is/are rejected.			
7) 💢	Claim(s) 4 and 5	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem	ent.		
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)□	)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Example 1	miner		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) 🕽	☑ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. 💢 Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received.			
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received in Application No			
	application from the International Bure				
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	·			
a)L		1/1 1/1	i		
15) <b>□</b>	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		le		
Attachm 1) No	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	REXFORD BARNIE  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). PRIMARY EXAMINER	1		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 09/20/03			
3) X Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) #AND 5	6) Other:	)		

Application/Control Number: 09/526,391 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toru Nishio (08-182052, cited by applicant) in view of Hiroshi Ogasawara (JP 9-252489).

Regarding claim 1, Toru teaches a communication system wherein a portable telephone can have its content updated by a base station with its internal memory which can receive information associated with a portable phone which is transmitted to the base station in (see abstract and figs.). Toru teaches that if a user enters a backup time, this is a request to a communication system that an update is to be perform at a certain time (see disclosure). Toru fails to teach a communication system where an update can be performed without taken into account a time factor.

Ogasawara teaches a communication system wherein a backup request can be made and information stored in a communication device without taking into account a time factor (see abstract)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ogasawara into that of Nishio thus making it

Application/Control Number: 09/526,391 Page 3

Art Unit: 2643

possible to request an information backup anytime desired and having information restored in a telephone device should in case of an abnormality and so forth without having to do so manually.

Regarding claim 2, The combination renders obvious the possibility of storing any information desired in a memory such as conventional data including speed dialing, address book, schedule and so forth.

Regarding claim 3, see the explanation as set forth regarding claim 1 because that apparatus would perform the method steps. In addition to the fact that it's known to send a remote signal to erase the content of a portable phone by a communication system.

Regarding claim 6, the examiner takes official notice that it's notoriously well known in the art to store information in conjunction with a time duration after which the information can be erased as requested.

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### **CONCLUSION**

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to REXFORD BARNIE whose telephone number is (703) 306-2744. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 6:OOp:m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Application/Control Number: 09/526,391 Page 4

Art Unit: 2643

or faxed to (703) 872-9314 and labeled accordingly (Please label "PROPOSED/INFORMAL" or "FORMAL").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Rexford Barnie Patent Examiner RB 09/19/03.

REXFORD BARNIE PRIMARY EXAMINER